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ABSTRACT

This minisymposium is organized by young investigators (all of which are members of the ECCOMAS Young Investigators Committee) for young investigators. The format, which has been introduced at the ECCOMAS Congress 2016 and at the ECCM-ECFD Conference 2018 with great success, is quite different from the regular minisymposia in order to particularly attract young researchers and to foster intensive scientific exchange.

There are two possibilities for presentations:

1. Presentation in pairs
Two presenters prepare and submit their abstract together, and they also give the presentation together – whether as a “duet” or more as a “duel” is up to you. The two presenters should know each other but should ideally not work at the same institution. The idea is to view a topic from two different perspectives, thus leading to discussions on pros and cons or complementarity of the presented approaches. Presentations in pairs are allowed 1.5 times the time of regular talks.
2. Presentation of things that did not work (as expected)
This session is dedicated to those research ideas that did not work or that led to different outcomes than expected. This gives the chance to present “negative” results. Authors should discuss why things went “wrong” with the aim to prevent others from falling into the same traps. It is also possible to present a problem that you have been working on for quite some time, but for which you could not yet find a good solution. This additionally gives the chance to present “unfinished” work and to get valuable input from the audience.

Noteworthy: Since the format of this minisymposium is quite different from the regular ones, authors have the possibility to give a presentation in this minisymposium in addition to a regular one in another session. Please clearly indicate in your abstract which of the two possible presentation formats (i.e. 1 or 2) you intend to follow. If you are not sure on how to prepare your presentation and in which way it should be different from regular talks, all of the organizers are more than happy to give you some advice.